G. R. S. 59]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1892-3
~~19~~ .
(Mowrai)

File No. 198

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

Month November 1913.

SUBJECT.

Theft in the Girijamma temple at Kalasa.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES.

Registered No.

OFFICE OF ...
...
BRANCH
...
...

M. No. 26 R

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition No. 71

dated 10th May 92 received at the

Dewan's Office from Hanchalli

Pandit Narasappa

residing at Mudgere

is forwarded, ~~together with its enclosures,~~ to the Dy Commr

Kadur Dist, for

enquiry and report.

From the Secretary to Govt

By Order,

Secretary.

BANGALORE,
2 May 1892

3

Nugaram Depts
Mysore
13/6/92

(General Serial No. 3103) 1892

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
13 JUN. 92

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
BRANCH
166

From The Dt. Magistrate

To The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 10th
Despatched 11th June
Received

Enclosures No Nil

General No. 116 / No. } 1892-93.

The Land Rev. Dept.

His Official Memo. No 26 of the 2d Instant forwarding the petition from Narasulla Pundit Narasappa, for enquiry & report.

Has the honor to report that the Petitioner Narasappa appeared before this Court on the 30th Ultimo, and

he was duly heard, together with one of his witnesses; but as it was found that there was not evidence sufficient to proceed with the case his complaint was dismissed.

The Police at Mudgere on hearing that the jewels, &c, stolen from the temple of Sirinjamma were buried in the temple, caused the suspected part of the temple to be dug up in the presence of the Panchayatdars as well as the Patel and the Shanbogue of the village, and this must have evidently been the cause for the complaint under report.

The enclosure is herewith returned.

H H Sparks
Dt. Magistrate
Kadur.

A.M.S.

MUZARAYI.

(General Serial No. 30.)

1892.

From The Dy Commr Kadur

Dated 27th June 1892

Despatched 28th (Camp Hagar)

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 365

No. 12

Recording the report on the petition of Harnahalli Pandit Narasappa in connection with the temple of Giriyamma at ~~Hagalur~~ Kalasa, Mudgere Taluk

Read:—

Docket No: 116 dated

10th June 1892 from the Dy

Commissioner, Kadur Dt,

reporting on the petition

of Hanahally Pandit ~

Narasappa, in connection

with the temple of Girijamma

at Kalasa

~~in the~~ Mudgere Taluk

Order thereon — No:

No 365/12 of 28/6/92

Kadur Allgane

Recorded

2. The Deputy Commissioner

is requested to report when

the theft was committed

in the Girijamba temple

and why no immediate ~

~~information~~ report was ~~given~~ sent to

this office as per Govt Order

Chief Commrs Proc: No: 5210/59

dt 16th Octr 1874. AS

Secretary

To

The Dy. Commr

67

Cummins order No. 5210 of 59
1874, referred to in postscript.
Dy 3, 11/7

Head clerk
OJ

(General Serial No. 30.)



From

The Deputy Commr
Kadur Dist

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore

Dated 6th Mysore Depart.
Despatched 7th July
Received

Enclosures No. one

General No. 2
No.

With reference to Govern
ment Proceedings
No 365/12 dated 28th ult
imo, regarding the
theft in the Gorijamba
temple at Kalasa,
has the honor to state
that the theft is reported
to have taken place
on the night of 24th
March last. Vide
Copy of Police
Depart.

To the Same No 415/16 of 13-7-92

Department First Information
herewith submitted and that no report
made to Government through an
of the order No. 5210/General.59 dated 16th October 1974.

Narayan Nigam

for Deputy Commissioner
Kaira District

First Intelligence report received from the Inudpore Taluk, on the 27th. March 1892

House breaking & theft. 457 & 380

Pujari Seetharamiah reported to Patel Kalasiah Hebbar on the 25th. Instant, that Somebody had broken into the Gyerijamma's temple at Kalasa and had Carried away property, Consisting of gold and Silver jewels to the Value of about 2000 rupees on the previous night.

The Patel, Shanbhogue and others went to the Spot and discovered that the locks affixed to the doors were broken and that the temple property as above was actually missing.

Duffedar Siddojee, who was

at Tholadur received the Potels
at 6 P.M on the same day
he He returned to Kalasa at once
and took down the Statement of
Complainant Seetharamiah,
the Pujari of the temple, who stated
that the temple property was left in his
possession on the responsibility of
Subramanya Kebbar, but they were
all secured in the temple itself - He
went to the temple as usual at noon
on the 25th idem and found the doors
open. On going in, he discovered that
the box containing valuable property
had been carried away by somebody.
He then made a report to the Potel.

The Case has been reported to the
General Assistant Commissioner
& 1st Class Magistrate on the 26th March 1892.

Inspector Mr. Mackie has proceeded
to the spot, and will investigate
the case.

(Sd) Narayanaraya
for Deputy Commr
Kadur Dist

'Copy'

Narayanaraya
for Deputy Commissioner.

15-9-2

may be

highly hot

MUZARAYI

(General Serial No. 30.)

1892.

From

To The Dy Commr
Kadur Dt

Dated 13 July 1892.

Despatched 14

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 415

No. 16

His No. 2 of the 6th Instant,
reporting on the theft com-
-mitted in the temple of
Girijamba at Kalasa, Mud-
-gere Taluk.

——"——

Referring to the above ob-
-serves that the value of
~~the~~ jewels &c lost ~~appears~~ about
~~Rs~~ Rs 2000/- and requests
to be furnished with infor
-mation on the following

(1) Whether the jewels were secured in a treasure vault as per instructions laid down in late Chief Commissioner's Pro: No. 9695/216 dt 16th March 1876.

(2) Who were the temple servants that had custody of the jewels & the keys of the temple doors at the different periods when offences were committed.

(3) Whether sufficient security has been obtained from the temple custodians that were in their charge.

2. The Dy. Commr. is also requested to report to this office the result of the ~~enquiry of the case~~ Police investigation and to forward to this office a correct inventory of the properties lost.

"By order"

Asst Secretary

6.5.

MUZARAI.

(General Serial No. 30.)

282
MUZARAI BRANCH

1893-4

From The Dy commr. Kadur Dt.

To The Muzrai Secretary

Dated 29th September

Despatched

Received 2-10-93

Enclosures No. 2

General No. 21

~~Muzarai F. No.~~

Furnishing certain information in connection with the theft committed in the temple of Gurijamma at Kalasa, Mudgere Taluk

Mysore Govt. Central Press, Bangalore—26-9-93-1 000.

878 15

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Kadur District

Dated Chikmagalur 29th September 92.

No 21
Rw 2.

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

Memo clerk
of
2/10/92

To

The Munzrou Secretary
to the Government of
Mysore
Bangalore

Sir,

With reference to your docket No 415/16 dated 13th July 1892 calling for certain information in connection with the theft committed in the temple of Guryamma at Kalasa in the Mudgere Taluk, I have the honor to state that the jewels were not secured in an Iron Safe or Treasure Vault but the jewels were kept in a nich behind the deity and covered up with a slab.

The Amildar of Mudgere reports that though the temple is attached to the main temple at Kalasa, yet the jewels were not secured in the Iron Safe belonging to the Kalasa Temple but were entrusted to the Poojari of the

temple as was the case for several years past.

Amkdar Poojari Subbramanya Bhatta and his Gumasta Seetārama Bhatta had the custody of the jewels, but the Keys of the Temple were in charge of the latter on the day of occurrence.

No Security bond had been obtained from them but their signature is taken in the property register, to the effect that they have taken over the jewels to their possession.

The Police have not as yet obtained any clue whatever towards the discovery of the lost property, the inventory of which is herewith submitted

I further beg to state that in accordance with Government Proceedings No. 51-60 / Mms 726-92 dated 3rd November 1892, the value of the lost jewels Viz Rs 15,283-13-0 inclusive of the estimated value of the three idols was ordered to be made good from the Amkdar Archak Subbramanya Bhatt and his gumasta —

Seetārama Bhatt, who were found on enquiry to be primarily responsible for their safety.

The plan of the temple is herewith submitted.

I have the honor to be -
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

H H Sparke

Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

BB

Inventory of the lost Properties belonging to Giryamma Temple.

Of Gold

	Discription of jewel	Estimated value Rs As P
1.	Chakkrasara (neck ornament)	190 — " — "
2.	Aralaylay 4 (head ornament)	20 — " — "
3.	Sarigay & 3 talico (neck ornament) attached to it	20 — " — "
4.	Kaydagay Sara	24 — 1 — 0
5.	Moogali 2	5 — " — "
6.	Goondina Sara (neck ornament)	60 — " — "
7.	Havalada Sara containing 31 corals and 28 gold buads	18 — " — "
8.	Chakkrasara containing 28 chakkaras &c	10 — " — "
9.	Talisara	90 — " — "
10	Neck ornament called Khalam Sara	85 — " — "
11.	Ear ornament called Bugadi	6 — 8 — "
12.	A pair of bangles containing brass patli	4 — 0 — 0
13.	Gold chain	23 — 12 — "
14.	Another Sarapani containing Tali	28 — 8 — 0
15.	Necklace containing 12 gold buads & 7 corals	9 — 2 — 0
16	Tali	1 — 8 — 0
17.	Nose ornament	1 — " "
18.	Kan Mani Sara	0 — 12 — "
19.	Old Ekkayi Varahas	13 — 8 — 0
20	Miscellaneous Items	2 — " —
		612 — 11 —

(12)

No. Pet / 1200

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition No. 1940 and telegram No 2256 dated 16th & 29th January received at the Dewan's Office from Vakabaku Sitaramanaya, archak, Sri Guruja Amundavaru temple of Kalasa Mudgere Taluk, appealing against the order contained in the Kadur Muzrai Assistant Commissioner's Endorsement dated 30th December/93, directing the Petitioner to make good the value of the temple property that was stolen; and praying for stay of proceedings against his property, pending disposal of his appeal, are forwarded together with one enclosure and a telegraphic pass, to the Secretary, Muzrai Department, for disposal

By Order,

Chief Secretary.

BANGALORE, 30 January 1894.

M. G. C. P. Bangalore —17 11 92—3,000.

To D.C. Kadur

Pet
No. 1569.

638







ಪ್ರತ್ಯೇಕವಿಲೇವಾರಿ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ನೋಟೀಸು
ನ್ಯಾಯಾಲಯದಿಂದ=

ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಹೇಳಿರತಕ್ಕವರಲ್ಲಿಗೆ ಕರಣಾಧ್ಯಯನಮಾಡುವುದು ಕ್ರಮ
ನಾಂ ನಮ್ಮಲ್ಲಿಗೆ ಬಂದಮೇಲೆ- ನಂ ನನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೋಧಿಸಿಕೊಂಡರೆ
ಬಹಳ ಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲ್ಲಿ- ಯಜಮಾನ್ಯ- ಯಜಮಾನ
ಹೊಣೆಯಿಲ್ಲದ ಕಾರಣದಿಂದ ಸುಲಭವೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿ
ರನೆಂಬವನು ಯಾವ ಹೊಂದಾಣಿಕೆಯಿಂದ ಹೇಳಿಕೊಂಡಿರ
ವನೆಂಬುದು ತಿಳಿಯಲಿಲ್ಲ- ನಾವು ನಮ್ಮ ಸುಲಭ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳ
ಬೇಕಾದರೆ ಪ್ರತಿಯೊಂದಕ್ಕೂ ಯಜಮಾನರನ್ನು ಕೇಳಬೇಕಾಗಿ
ದಿಂದ ಹೊಣೆಯಿಲ್ಲದವರಲ್ಲಿ ಹೇಳಿಕೊಂಡರು ಬಂದವನಿಗೆ ಹೊಣೆ
ಯಿಲ್ಲದೆ ನಮಗೆ ಬಹಳ ಪಾಟವಾಗಿದ್ದು ಹೇಳಿಕೊಂಡು ಹೋಗಿ
ವನಲ್ಲ=

ಯಜಮಾನ್ಯರು ಕರಣಾಧ್ಯಯನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದವರು ನಾವಲ್ಲಿಗೆ
ಹೋಗಿ ಹೇಳಿಕೊಂಡು ಬಂದ ಮೇಲೆ ನಾನು ಅಲ್ಲಿಗೆ ಹೋ
ಗುವುದಕ್ಕಿಂತ ಮುಂಚೆ ಹೋಗಿ ಬರುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಅನುಕೂಲವಾದ ದಿವಸ
ನೋಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಹೋಗಲು ಅಡ್ಡಿಯಿಲ್ಲ=

ಲಾಂಛನಕರಣಾಧ್ಯಯನಮಾಡಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಹೋಗು
ವಾಗ ನಾವು ಯಾವ ರೀತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹೋಗಬೇಕೆಂಬುದನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸಿ
ಬೇಕು. ನಮ್ಮಲ್ಲಿ ಲಾಂಛನಕರಣಾಧ್ಯಯನಮಾಡಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ
ಮೊದಲು ಯಜಮಾನರಿಗೆ ಹೇಳಿಕಳುಹಿಸಿ ಅವರು ಹೇಳಿ
ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ ಮೇಲೆ ಹೋಗಬೇಕು. ಹಾಗೆ ಹೇಳಿಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ
ವರು ಯಾವ ಯಜಮಾನರೆಂಬುದನ್ನು ಸಹ ತಿಳಿಸಿರಬೇಕು.
ಹಾಗೆ ಹೇಳಿಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ ಯಜಮಾನರಲ್ಲಿಗೆ ಹೋಗಿ ಅವರಿಗೆ
ನಮಸ್ಕಾರ ಮಾಡಿ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಕಾಣಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟು ಲಾಂಛನ
ಕರಣಾಧ್ಯಯನಮಾಡಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಬರಬೇಕು. ಲಾಂಛನಕರಣಾ
ಧ್ಯಯನಮಾಡಿಸಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ಹೋಗಲೂ ನಾನಾ ಯಜ
ಮಾನ್ಯರು ಯಾವ ಯಾವ ದಿವಸ ಲಾಂಛನಕರಣಾಧ್ಯಯನಮಾಡಿ
ಸಿಕೊಂಡರೆಂಬುದನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸಿಕೊಂಡಿಲ್ಲ=

[illegible] 296

[illegible]

[illegible] 51-60 [illegible]

92

[illegible]

K Ramanujam

[illegible]

INDIAN TELEGRAPHS.

Monthly Number

To (Office) Bangalore

From (Office) Chitradurga

Words.	Date.	Hour.	Minute.	Official Instructions.
37	29	18	10	Express two replies

To (Person) The Dewan of Mysore Bangalore

From (Person) Nagappa Basappa Hiramani Archaka Patel Basappa Patel 30/1/94 & Ganesha & Temple Kalasa

Received 225 R 30-1-94

No reply to my petition 11/1/94 attachment of property in order 6 lacs to order Mysore Government to restore attachment of property

Received from LN. Hrs. Mts. by

Sent to LN. At Hrs. Mts. by

Telegraph Master.

C. S. P. Co.—No. 363 T—6-3 93—40,00,000

BANGALORE 29-1-94

ss.

INDIAN TELEGRAPHS.

Receipt for an Inland Telegram.

To
(Office)

mber.

Date Stamp of Post Office.

Cost Rs. As.

Extra charges Reply, Delivery, &c. Rs. As.

Initials of Postmaster, &c.

Above particulars, giving full cost, to be filled up when the Telegram is paid for, PARTLY or WHOLLY, in Postage Stamps or Reply Passes.

SPACE FOR UPPER HALVES OF TELEGRAPH STAMPS.

N.B.—When Telegraph Stamps form only PART PAYMENT, the entir stamps must be fixed lengthways BELOW the dotted line

Telegraph Stamps are accepted at all Offices. Postage Stamps are onl accepted at Post Offices and when telegrams are posted to a Telegraph Offic for transmission.

or INLAND TELEGRAMS *only*.

Stamps cut, torn or in any way efaced cannot be accepted.

SPACE FOR LOWER HALVES OF TELEGRAPH STAMPS OR FOR ENTIRE POSTAGE STAMPS.

N.B.—Stamps may be affixed along this line up to the left of the form and continued on the corresponding space on the back, if necessary

Code Class.	*No.*	*Words.*	*Day.*	*H.*	*M.*	*Official Instructions.*

NOTHING TO BE WRITTEN BY THE SENDER ABOVE THIS LINE.

Chickmagalur
Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

ADDRESS FREE

From Bangalore
(Telegraph Office)

(Person) Muzrai Secretary

Here write whether your telegram is State or Private. *Unless classed* State *by sender, it will be sent as* Private, *and no change or refund is afterwards admissible.*

Here write whether your telegram is to be sent URGENT, ORDINARY *or* DEFERRED. Urgent

Phone	attachment	of	Kalasa
Ammah	Temple	Archak's	property.

Send at 3.20 Pm
Yeon Raghavaiah

NOTHING TO BE WRITTEN ON THE BACK. CONTINUE ON ANOTHER FORM.

IN.

Mts. by

Sender's Signature and Address or Designation. (Not compulsory)

Muzrai Secretary

The *ACCURACY* of telegrams is not guaranteed: the sender must accept ***ALL RISKS*** arising from non-delivery, errors or delays.

Address any complaint regarding this telegram to the Telegraph Check Office, Calcutta, within two months from the date of the telegram, enclosing this receipt.

For detailed rules, see the Telegraph Guide (price 4 annas.)

ADDITIONAL SPACE FOR STAMPS.

N. B.—When Telegraph Stamps are affixed here, the upper halves, if they accompany the receipt, must be placed above the dotted line.

No Stamps to be affixed below unless spaces allotted are insufficient.

Pet.
No. 1569.

638

(15)

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition No 2204

dated 21st January/94 received in

Dewan's Office from Valabaile Seshoramma

archak of Sriginganna's

temple at Halsa, Tumkur

Taluk, praying that his

property may not be attached

till the disposal of his appeal

is forwarded, ~~together with its~~

~~enclosures~~, to the Secretary Mysore

Department, in continuation

of official Memo No 1200 dated

30th January 1894

for

BANGALORE, 10th
March 1894. 153

M. G. C. P. Bangalore—17-1-95—3000.

To DC Kadoor No 1170 dt 5/94

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE
PETITION DEPARTMENT
REG'D. NO. 2204
DATE 26-1-94

21. 1. 1894

Mysore Govt. Central Press, Bangalore.—25

From the Same No 54 dt 27/2/94

MUZARAI: (13)

(General Serial No 30)

1894.

From

The Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore

To The Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

Dated ~~7th~~ 13th February.

Despatched 15th

Received

Enclosures No.

General No 878 B

Muzarai T. No 77-93

His letter No. 21 dated 29th September 1893 reporting that the value of jewels stolen in the temple of Gorijammah at Kalasa, Mudigere Taluk, amounting to Rs 1523-13-0 inclusive of the estimated value of ~~the~~ 3 idols, has been ordered to be made good from ~~the~~ ~~Huzkur~~ Subramanya Bhatta of the said Temple.

With reference

Mysore Govt. Central Press, Bangalore—25-9-94-1000

From the Same No 5423 27/2/94

the above has the honour to enquire whether orders have been issued for the postponement of the attachment of property belonging to the said Archak of the ~~Geriparuvak Temple at Kalasa~~, as directed in the ~~letter~~ despatched from this Office on the 31st ultimo & whether the value of the lost jewels (Rs 1523-13-) ~~referred to~~ in ~~the above~~ has subsequently been recovered and credited to the Temple Funds.

2. The Dy Commr is also requested to report ~~whether~~ the result of the Police enquiry and whether any arrangements are being made to secure the remaining jewels of the said temple in the main Temple at Kalasa.

3. An early reply is requested.

DK

for Secy

(14)

(General Serial No 30.)

1894

OFFICE OF THE
MUZRAI
BRANCH
DEWAN OF MYSORE

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

To

The Mugaur Secretary
to the Dewan of
Mysore
Bangalore

Dated 27th February 1894

Despatched 28th Do

Received 2-3-94

Enclosures No. - Nil

General No. 54 / No. } 1893/94

With reference to the
Mugaur Secretary's docket
No 878/1793 dated 15th instant
on the recovery of the
Value of the jewels of
Ganpatiamma's temple at
Kalasa Mudgere Taluk
has the honor to state
that enquiries are being
to the owners of
Mudgere to bear-bone
the attachment of
property belonging to
the

Received 21/8/1894

To the Sanctioned 1170/17-98 9/9/98

to the Archaka of the temple as
as directed in his telegram of the same date

The amildar of Mudgere reports that the value of the lost jewels has not as yet been ascertained, that the temple has no jewels left to be secured in the main temple at Kalasa and that the Police Inspector of Mudgere and himself are still trying to find out the culprits and the lost property of the temple.

K Rammurthy
for the Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District.

MUZARAYI.

(General Serial No. 30.)

1894.

From The Secy

To Mukims &
Kadamdars.

Dated 2

Despatched 2 May

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 1170

Muzarayi. F. No. 77

His no. 542 / 27th Feb. 94
reporting that the jewels
stolen from the Sri Sivijam-
mal's temple at Khalasa
~~hasnot~~ have not been
traced out and returned.

Adverting to the above
has the honor to request
information on the follg.
points :—
(1) How much Tasdik does
the temple get in the
shape of Cash allowances?
(2) Does the temple own
any Kandayam or Inam

From the same No 2011 of 10/6/96

land? If so, what is the annual income therefrom?

(3) What pay is the archak paid? Does he get any other allowances from the temple beyond his regular ~~pay~~ pay?

(4) Does the archak ~~own~~ possess any property of his own?

(5) Who are the other servants attached to the temple? What pay does each get? How far is each responsible for the temple property lost?

(6) What explanation do the temple servants give regarding the occurrence of the theft? What do they say to making good the loss? ~~pro~~ pro rata according to their individual emoluments and responsibilities?

2. On receipt of a detailed report on the above, final orders will be passed.

By Order etc.

Dy Secy to Govt
Army
15/5/24

INDIAN TELEGRAPH. | Originating Local No. 20

Station: Mysore | From Station: Mudigere

Month.	Day	Hour.	Minute	Charges, Signs, &c.
13	9	15	45	defd rupees two bungalow

To (Person): Musarai Secretary of mysore

From (Person): Vadubele Shitha Lamaya Kalasa

1 Kalasa goddess yerijammah
2 property have been Stolen I re-
3 an order that the attachment
4 Should not be carried on over
5 my own property for the ci
6 Court amount I knew
7 yet about the final amt
8 now again the attachment is
9 carrying over on my own
10 property I beg to Know about
11 the final arrangement.
12
13
14

MYSORE 13 MAR 11

Recd. from	L. N.	Sent to	L. N.
A. Hrs.	Mts. By	A Hrs.	Mts. By

Date

Postmaster.

A: On receipt of a detailed report on the above, final orders will be passed.

By Order of the Army Council

Asst Secy
15/12/24

0023

Local No. 221
(of R. P. Message.)

ıment Telegraph Department.

Name & Date Stamp of Booking Office

Pass for a Reply Paid Message.

The sum of Rupees (in words) One Rupee

Annas

has been deposited for a reply to this message. The reply may be sent from *any* Telegraph Office at any time within 30 days of this date, *provided this authority be attached to the message form.* This Pass may also be used for any telegram sent by any person within the above period, whether it be a reply to the original telegram or not.

Name & Date Stamp of the Office of Issue

PARTICULARS OF THE MESSAGE.
I. Office at which DEPOSIT was made. Murree
II. Date and Time of Message. 9/5/4
III. Name of Addressee. Mulcaster

Should the cost of the reply exceed the sum deposited as specified above, the difference must be paid by the sender of the reply. Should the cost of reply be less than the amount of the Pass, no refund will be given for the amount not availed of, but if the Pass be not used, the amount which has been prepaid for it will be refunded upon application to the Check Office, Calcutta. Application must, however, be made within six weeks and be supported by this Pass, without which no refun will be granted.

R Mulcaster

Signature of Telegraph Officer in charge.

Form T. 18.

N.B.—This Pass is current for six weeks. o of a Foreign message

Class. *(in full.)*

INDIAN TELEGRAPHS.

Receipt for an Inland Telegram.

Number. Date Stamp of Post Office.

Cost Rs. As.

Extra charges Reply, Delivery, &c. Rs. As.

Initials of Postmaster, &c.

Above particulars, giving full cost, to be filled up when the Telegram is paid for, PARTLY or WHOLLY, in Postage Stamps or Reply Passes

SPACE FOR UPPER HALVES OF TELEGRAPH STAMPS.

N.B.—When Telegraph Stamps form only PART PAYMENT, stamps must be fixed lengthways BELOW the dotted line.

Telegraph Stamps are accepted at all Offices. Postage Stamps accepted at Post Offices and when telegrams are posted to a Telegraph for transmission

For **INLAND TELEGRAMS** *only.*

Stamps cut, torn or in any way defaced cannot be accepted.

SPACE FOR LOWER HALVES OF TELEGRAPH STAMPS OR FOR ENTIRE POSTAGE STAMPS.

N.B.—Stamps may be affixed along this line up to the left of the and continued on the corresponding space on the back, if necessary.

Code Class.	*No.*	*Words.*	*Day.*	*H.*	*M.*	*Official Instructions.*

NOTHING TO BE WRITTEN BY THE SENDER ABOVE THIS LINE EXCEPT, HIS OFFICIAL INSTRUCTIO

To

(Telegraph Office) . . . . . .

(Person) . . . . . .

(Address) . . . . . *in full)*

ADDRESS FREE

From

(Telegraph Officer) . . . . . .

(Person) . . . . . .

Here write whether your telegram is State *or* Private. *classed* State *by , it will be sent as* Private, *and no change or refund is afterwards admissible.*

Here write whether your telegram is to be sent URGENT, ORDINARY DEFERRED.

NOTHING TO BE WRITTEN ON THE BACK.—CONTINUE ON ANOTHER FORM.

Sent to *I N.*

At *Hrs.* *Mts. by*

Sender's Signature and Address or Designation. (Not required.)

ACCURACY of telegrams is not guaranteed: the sender must accept ***ALL RISKS*** arising from non-delivery, errors or delays.

dress any complaint regarding this telegram to the Telegraph Check Office, Calcutta, within two months from the date of the telegram enclosing this receipt.

or detailed rules see the Telegraph Guide (price 4 annas.)

ADDITIONAL SPACE FOR STAMPS.

N. B.—When Telegraph Stamps are affixed here, the upper halves, if they accompany the receipt, must be placed above the dotted line.

No Stamps to be affixed below unless spaces allotted are insufficient.

Gen Serial No. 30.]

1895

MUZARAI.

From

The Secretary to the
Govt. ~~Dewan~~ of Mysore

To The Dy Commr
Kadur Dist

Dated 30 April/95

Despatched March/95

Received 1-4

Enclosures No.

General No. 881

Muzarai F. No. 77-93

This office Nos
878 M.F. 77-93 and 1170 M.F. 77-93
dated respectively
15th Feby and 2nd
May/94 calling for
certain information
with the theft of
jewels belonging
to the Girijamma
temple at Kalasa
in the Mudgere
Taluk

M.G.C.P.B.—21-6-94-1,000.

Gen. 31]

1896. 909

From The Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

To The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Mysore Department
Bangalore.

Dated 10/17
Despatched 18
Received 23/6
} June 1896.

General No. 2011 ⁂ 1895-96.
No.

Enclosure No. 2 files & p

Reporting further
regarding the stolen jewels
of Sree Girijamma Temple
at Kalasa, Mudgere Taluk.

The Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District.

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Mysore Department.

Dated, Chickmagalur, 10/17 June 1896

With reference to Mysore Secretary's No 1170/77-93 dated 2nd May 1894 requesting further information, anent the jewels stolen from the Sree Girijamma Temple at Kalasa, has the honor to state that:-

(1.) No Savark is paid to this temple in the shape of cash allowances;

(2) Lands of various description are in the khalé of the temple;

(3) The pay of the Archak is one rupee per month and a daily Poorthasa of one seer of rice, 2 plantains and 5 betel leaves, as issued from the Management Funds of the Main (Kalaseshwara) temple. No other allowance is paid

to the Archak

(4) i The Archak, Subrambanyaya is the Mokhtar and he owns Land paying Rs: 78-5-9 as Kanaayam to Govt

and

ii Gumasta Valabyle Sitharamiah also owns Valuable immovable property.

(5) There are no other Servants for the temple besides the above.

(6) The Rasanasaar Archak Subram. banyaya states that the right of Puja is his; but that his father had nominated the Gumasta Valabyle Sitharamiah who has ever since been doing the duties of Pujari and that he (Subrambanyaya) is attending to the worship only when the Gumasta is prevented to enter into the temple by pollution &c., and that as the Gumasta has been in charge of the jewels, he is responsible for the loss

Gumasta Sitharamia, states that, he entered upon the worship only since 7 or 8 years, that he is not responsible for any of the jewellery except the "Vale"

(ear

and that for the remainder the Karavasdar is responsible.

8 The explanations offered by both are unsatisfactory. From their statements it would appear that both of them were entering into the temple to conduct worship. Consequently the undersigned is of opinion that both are equally responsible. The jewels were said to have been lodged in the vault above the ground surface just below the feet of the Goddess Girijamma - vanam within the "Garbha gudi", and to this place no one else could have had access, except these men.

For Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

hs

Gen. Serial No. 30.]

1896

MUZARAI.

From

The Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

To The Dy Comr
Kadur Dt

Dated 12/11

Despatched 13

Received

Enclosures No. Vr Records.

General No. 569
Muzarai F. No. 7/93

R

His No 2011 dated 16 June 1896 reporting on the theft of committed in the Sri-Girijamma Temple at Kalasa.

Has the honor to state that as reported by the Dy Comr, both the following persons either of the two persons

1. Does Subramaniya bhatta & Seethakembhatta, still continue to perform Puja in the Temples?

2 In case of their dismissal from office are other qualified persons available for entertainment as Archaks in the Temples.?

3. The Vernacular records are returned herewith

By order

Asst Secy

Asst

From the Law no 1793 15-5-9

M.G.O P B —21 6 91 —1,000

the Barawad Archak
Subramaniya bhatta
and his Gumasta
Seetharam bhatta should
be held jointly and
severally responsible
to make good the loss
caused to the temple by
the alleged theft. The pro-
perties to the Estimated
value of Rs 1414 reported
to have been stolen from
the Sarvanga Sundari
Temple should also
be made good by
the aforesaid Seetharam
bhatta who is the Archak
of this Temple.

2. But before finalorders
are passed on the subject
the Dy Comr is requested
to furnish information

Gen. 31



189

From The Dy Commissioner
Kadur Dist

To The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Muzarai Dept

Dated 15. May

Despatched 16

Received

General No. 1793 1896-7.
No.

Enclosure No. 1

His docket No 569/77-93 dated 12. November 1896, requesting further information on the theft of property belonging to Girijamma ravara Temple at Kalsa-

"

In reply to the 1st and 2nd questions of para 2 of the above, has the honor to state that both the persons, Subramanya Bhatta and Sathanarayana Bhatta, continue to perform puja in the temples and that two.

The same no 1229-30 S.S. 6 97

aiya, are available for the puja, in case the present Archiko are dismissed and the patel Kalase Kebbar comes forward to stand as security for Rs. 600/- and 400/- to each of the above substitutes -

2. The undersigned therefore begs that final orders of Government may kindly be obtained on the subject.

3. Mudgere Amildar's report No. 120 dated 6 inst is herewith submitted for reference -

B Ramadas
Dy Commissioner
Kadur Dt.

Spl

Read :—

Correspondence ending with Docket No 1793 dated 15th May 1894, from the Deputy Commissioner Kadur District, regarding the theft of the Jewels committed in (1) Sri Girijamma temple at Kalas on the night of the 24th March 1892 and (2) Sri Sarvanga Sundari temple at Kalasa on the night of the 7th January 1894.

No 1229-30
63.93
dated 5.6.97
En. Upp.

Order thereon.

Both the temples referred to in the above

maintained out of the funds of the Sri Kalaseswaraswami temple at Kalasa.

2. The Deputy Commissioner in reporting upon the thefts remarks that none but Subramanya bhatta, the Bäraward Archak of the temples and his Gumasta Seetharama bhatta could have had access to the places where the missing properties have been lodged, that the explanations offered by them in regard alleged to the thefts are not-

Satisfactory and that therefore to the the persons should be held responsible for the loss.

3. The Government observe that apart from the liability of the Archaks to criminal prosecution in respect of the the the rule long in force in the Muzrai Department about holding them responsible under all circumstances for the safety of the temple properties must be enforced in the case under advertence and according

as noted in the margin
lee recovered from
the Barawards Archak
Subramanya Bhatta
and his Gumasta
Seetharama bhatta.
The Deputy Commis-
sioner will place both
these persons under Sus-
pension forthwith
until they make good
the value of the properties
lost and appoint
other qualified persons
temporarily in their
stead.

Fair Offr
Com Murzai Secretary

To The Deputy Comr, Kadur Distt
returning enclosures
Comptr Mysore Government

Vol RS 1667-13 →

Sri Lakshmamma Temple Rs 1523.13.0
Sri Lakshmiramana Swamy Temple, Tagadur 144.4.0

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
RECEIVED
Date 14.6.99
REG. No 716
MUZRAI DEPTT

1899-00

From The Deputy-Commissioner of the Kadur District

To The Secretary to the Government of Mysore Muzrai-Department

Dated 10th
Despatched Do
Received 14 June 1899
General No. 1662
No

Enclosure No. Two endorsements

Refer to Commissioner Varavanbe for his remarks
14/6/99

Recommending that arches failing be rectified and Karanda Subramanya Devaru may, for the reasons stated, be reinstated

Muzrai dekar

No 1662

Kadur District
Deputy commissioner's office
Camp Kukkasamudra
June 10th 1899

From The Deputy commissioner
of the Kadur District

To The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Muzrai Department.

Sir,

With reference to para 3 of Government Proceedings No. 1229-30 Muz. 7. 63-93 dated the 5th June 1897 anent the recovery of the value of the jewels lost in the temples attached to the Sri-Kalaseswaraswamy temple at Kalasa in the Mudgere taluk, I have the honor to State that from the report received from the Amildar of Mudgere (No. 129 dated the 15th May 1899, herewith sent with all its enclosures) it will be observed that Valadeyle Seetharama Bhatta, archik of the Sri-Sarvanga Sundaramma temple has made good his share of the value of the jewels

Jewels lost, viz, Rs 9/5-14-6 and prays for his reinstatement and which is recommended to by the Amildar

2. The other archik Karagadde Subra-manya-shebbar has paid almost the whole of his portion (Rs 76/-14-6) Same Rs 43-2-5 and so he may also be reinstated.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

Deputy-commissioner
of Kadur

Read :—

Govt Prn No 1229-30 dated 5th June 1897 directing the recovery of Rs 1667-13-0 value of the jewels lost the Sri Girijamma temple & the Sri Sarvangasundaresvara at Kalasa from Subrahmanya Bhatta and Seetharama Bhatta the Archaks of the temples in question and requesting the Kadur DC court to place these two persons under suspension until they make good the above amount.

No 21.22 Ex. Upp
Immz 763-93
7-7-99

And, Letter No 1662 dated 10th June 1899 from the DC court, Kadur & reporting that the above two Archaks have ~~paid~~ paid their respective shares of the value of the jewels lost, except a sum of Rs 43-2-5 which is still due from one of them, namely Subrahmanya Bhatta and recommending that these two

Sevvan may therefore be now re-instated in their offices.

Order thereon

Under the circumstances reported by the Director, the Govt are pleased to sanction the re-instatement of Seethavan Bhatta as Archak of the Sri Sarvanga Sundari temple at Valasa.

2. With regard to Subramaniya Bhatta, it is observed that a sum of Rs 43-2-5 is still due from him. This amount should also be duly recovered and reported & on receipt of this report orders will be passed regarding his re-instatement in the Sri Giri Jaganna temple.

By Order,

Secretary

To,

The Director,

Madras

for information & necessary action.

The Collector of Gnt.



1899-1900

From The Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

To The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore, Muzrai Department

Dated 1st } 1st December 1899
Despatched }

Received

General No. 742
No.

Enclosure No. nil Papers

Sir,

Adverting to para 2 of Government order No. 21-22 Muz F 6393 of the 6th June 1899, calling for a report re the recovery of Rs 43-2-5 still due from Subbhannanya Bhatta, Archak of the Srijirijamma temple at Kalasa in the Mudgere Taluk, on account of his share of the value of the temple jewels lost, I have the honor to state, that the Amildar of the Mudgere Taluk reports that the said amount of Rs 43-2-5 was paid by him

de no 630-31 dt 18/12/99

10th November 1899 and that nothing more is due from him in Connection with the jewels lost. He therefore recommends that the said Subrhamanya Bhatta's suspension may be Cancelled and his reinstatement as archak ordered. The sanction of government is therefore solicited to the proposal.

2. The Vernacular records ending with the Mudgeri Amildar's report No 300 of the 24th November are herewith sent for reference and favor of return.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

[signature]

Deputy Commissioner

Kadur District

Read:—

Para 2 of Govt Pro
No. 21-22 / Genl 76393 dated 7th July
1899 stating that orders
will be issued regarding
the re-instatement of
Sidra Subbanna Bhatta
as Archak of the Sri
Giri Jamma Temple at
Kalasa after his making
good a sum of Rs 43-2-5
that was then due from
him out of his share
of the value of certain
jewels lost in the temple.

And, Letter No. 742 dated
1st Decr 1899, & from the
Dy Comr, Kadur Dt.
reporting that the sum
of Rs 43-2-5 reqd from
the above, has now
been duly recovered from

Subramaniam Bhatta
and recommending that
he may therefore be re-
instated in his office.

---

order passed

No. 630-31 dy
Inuz 763-9

Under the circs reported
by the Dy Comr, the Govt
are pleased to sanction
the re-instatement of
Subramania Bhatta
as Archak of the Sri
Virijamma temple at
Kalasa.

sd

Under Secy

To, The Dy Comr, Hadavalli
relg the endowments.
The Collr of Gorak.